

Fig. 2. Packing of the molecule viewed down the c axis. Dotted lines indicate intramolecular and dashed lines intermolecular hydrogen bonds. [The large open circle is O(16) twice translated on z.]

References

BERKOVITCH-YELLIN, Z. & LEISEROWITZ, L. (1984). Acta Cryst. B40, 159–165.

CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J., BUJOSA, A., LOVELL, F. M. & TRUTER, M. R. (1961). Computing Methods and the Phase Problem in X-ray Crystal Analysis, edited by R. PEPINSKY & J. M. ROBERTSON, p. 45. Oxford: Pergamon Press.

GRANT, D. F. & GABE, E. J. (1978). J. Appl. Cryst. 11, 114-120.

- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1968). Vol. III, 2nd ed. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor D. Reidel, Dordrecht.)
- LE PAGE, Y., GABE, E. J. & CALVERT, L. D. (1979). J. Appl. Cryst. 12, 25-26.
- MAIN, P., FISKE, S. J., HULL, S. E., LESSINGER, L., GERMAIN, G., DECLERCQ, J.-P. & WOOLFSON, M. M. (1980). MULTAN80. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
- PURUSHOTHAMAN, K. K., SARADA, A. & CONNOLLY, J. D. (1984). Indian J. Chem. 23B, 611-614.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- SHIONO, R. (1968). A block-diagonal least-squares program for the IBM 1130. Department of Crystallography, Univ. of Pittsburgh, USA.
- STEWART, R. F., DAVIDSON, E. R. & SIMPSON, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175–3187.
- TAYLOR, R. & KENNARD, O. (1982). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 104, 5063-5070.
- THAILAMBAL, V. G. & VASANTHA PATTABHI (1985). Acta Cryst. C41, 802–804.

Acta Cryst. (1986). C42, 1019–1022

Structure of Antischistosome Compounds. II. Benzyltriphenylphosphonium Bromide

By M. N. PONNUSWAMY AND EDMUND W. CZERWINSKI*

Division of Biochemistry, Department of Human Biological Chemistry and Genetics, The University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, Texas 77550, USA

(Received 18 November 1985; accepted 11 February 1986)

Abstract. $C_{25}H_{22}P^+.Br^-$, $M_r = 433\cdot3$, monoclinic, $P2_1/c$, a=9.761(1), $b=20\cdot343(1)$, $c=11\cdot544(1)$ Å, $\beta = 109\cdot17(1)^\circ$, $V = 2165\cdot16$ Å³, Z = 4, $D_m = 1\cdot315$, $D_x = 1\cdot329$ g cm⁻³, graphite-monochromatized Cu Ka radiation, $\lambda = 1\cdot5418$ Å, $\mu = 33\cdot4$ cm⁻¹, F(000) = 888, T = 292 K. Final R = 0.046 for 2962 observed reflections. The four P–C distances are in the range $1\cdot789$ (4)– $1\cdot802$ (4) Å with a mean of $1\cdot795$ (5) Å. The six C–P–C angles vary from $108\cdot2$ (2) to $110\cdot4$ (2)° with a mean of $109\cdot5$ (8)°. The dihedral angle of the phenylmethyl plane and the plane formed by the C atoms of the P–C(phenyl) bonds is $24\cdot2^\circ$, which is in sharp contrast to the vertical orientation of the substituted group of the (2-aminoethyl)triphenylphosphonium compound.

Introduction. The crystal and molecular structure of the title compound have been determined as a part of a

study of the triphenylphosphonium compounds which exhibit varying effects on the cholinergic nervous system of *Schistosoma mansoni* (McAllister, Dotson, Grim & Hillman, 1980). The biological effects of these compounds cannot be explained solely on the basis of chemical differences in the substituted moiety. The effects may also be due to the differences in the torsion angles in the substituted moieties.

Experimental. Sample provided by Professor G. Hillman, synthesized as described in McAllister *et al.* (1980); colorless crystals (from ethanol), $0.10 \times 0.23 \times 0.09$ mm; Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer, cell parameters from 2θ angles for 22 reflections from least-squares refinement with $25 \le \theta \le 35^\circ$; $\omega - 2\theta$ scan, ω width $(0.90 + 0.14 \tan \theta)^\circ$, $(\sin \theta/\lambda)_{max} = 0.6092 \text{ Å}^{-1}$, h = -11 to 11, k = 0 to 24 and l = 0 to 14; intensities of three standard reflections monitored every 3600 s showed a linear decline in intensity of -9.6%, correction applied; 3679 unique

© 1986 International Union of Crystallography

^{*} To whom to address correspondence.

reflections measured, 2962 reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$; Lp corrections; structure solved by Patterson and Fourier methods; full-matrix least squares minimizing $w(F_o - F_c)^2$ with unit weights; min. and max. absorption Br correction of 0.826 and 1.201, respectively, using ca program DIFABS (Walker & Stuart, 1983); final C(12 R = 0.046, wR = 0.049; all H atoms from ΔF map and cù3 C(14 refined isotropically; 332 variables, S = 1.47, max. C(15 $\Delta/\sigma = 0.02$ for non-H atoms, 0.10 for H atoms; no C(16 C(17 significant features in final ΔF synthesis with $\Delta \rho$ C(21 $=\pm 0.38$ e Å⁻³; atomic scattering factors, f' and f'' C(22 C(23 from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography C(24 C(25) (1974); all calculations with a DEC PDP 11/44 C(26 computer using Enraf-Nonius SDP-Plus package C(31 C(32 (Frenz, 1984) and ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976). C(33 C(34

C(35 Discussion. Table 1* lists the fractional atomic coordi-C(36 nates and isotropic thermal parameters. Fig. 1 shows the C(42 structure of the molecule with the thermal vibration C(43 C(44 ellipsoids of the non-H atoms. The packing of the C(45 molecules is shown in Fig. 2. Intermolecular distances H(11 correspond to normal van der Waals interactions. Bond H(1) lengths and angles of the non-H atoms and selected H(13 torsion angles are given in Table 2. The phenyl-ring numbers and atom numbers are assigned as previously H(17 described (Czerwinski, 1986). H(22 H(23

In the cation, the P atom has a nearly perfect tetrahedral arrangement. All C-P-C angles are within the range of $108 \cdot 2$ (2) to $110 \cdot 4$ (2)° with a mean value of $109.5(8)^{\circ}$. The average P-C distance is 1.795 (5) Å. The C(11)-C(12)bond length. 1.501 (5) Å, and the P-C(11)-C(12)angle. 113.5 (3)°, are similar to those found in benzyltriphenylphosphonium chloride (1.519 Å and 114.7°; H(45 Skapski & Stephens, 1974) and in benzyltriphenyl-H(46 phosphonium iodide (1.510 Å and 113.2°; Archer, Modro & Nassimbeni, 1981).

The Br- ion is face oriented with respect to the phosphonium center as seen in other similar-type structures (Archer et al., 1981; Czerwinski, 1986). The closest C atom, C(11), is 3.709 (4) Å from the Br⁻ ion. This distance is midway between the $C(11)\cdots Cl$ (3.45 Å) and the C(11)...I (3.96 Å) distances (Skapski & Stephens, 1974; Archer et al., 1981), as expected from the van der Waals radii of these halide ions (Pauling, 1960).

The average C-C bond length of the four phenyl rings is 1.375 (2) Å. Least-squares-planes' calculations show that all four phenyl rings are planar with a maximum displacement of 0.010 (4) Å. The methylene

Table 1. Positional and isotropic thermal parameters and their e.s.d.'s

	x	у	z	$B_{eq}/B_{iso}(\dot{A}^2)$
Br	1.26847 (6)	-0.07266 (3)	0.19808 (5)	5.37(1)
P	1.1375(1)	0.13832 (6)	0.1550(1)	3.49 (2)
C(11)	1.0171 (4)	0.0691 (2)	0.1062 (4)	3.9(1)
C(12)	0.9190 (5)	0.0592(2)	0.1812(4)	3.9(1)
C(13)	0.7881(5)	0.0924(3)	0.1520 (5)	5.5(1)
C(14)	0.6992 (5)	0.0824(4)	0.2210 (5)	6.8 (2)
C(15)	0.7377 (6)	0.0400 (3)	0.3194(5)	6.3(1)
CUÓ	0.8673 (6)	0.0071(3)	0.3470 (5)	5.9(1)
C(17)	0.9586 (5)	0.0166(3)	0.2791(5)	4.9(1)
C(21)	1.2592 (4)	0.1408(3)	0.0677(4)	3.9(1)
C(22)	1.2834 (6)	0.0868 (3)	0.0049 (5)	6.2 (1)
$\hat{C}(23)$	1.3773 (6)	0.0915 (4)	-0.0622 (5)	7.2 (2)
C(24)	1.4451 (5)	0.1496 (4)	-0.0674 (5)	6.6 (2)
C(25)	1.4736 (6)	0.2025 (3)	_0.0044 (5)	7.0(1)
C(26)	1.3312 (5)	0.1988 (3)	0.0644 (5)	5.7(1)
C(31)	1.0354 (4)	0.2135 (2)	0.1282 (4)	3.0(1)
C(32)	0.9278 (6)	0.2220 (3)	0.0160 (5)	5.9(1)
C(33)	0.8484 (6)	0.2808 (3)	0.0064 (6)	7.0(7)
C(34)	0.8784 (6)	0 2202 (2)	-0.0004 (0)	7.0 (2)
C(35)	0.0841 (6)	0.3265 (3)	0.1000 (5)	7·2 (2)
C(36)	1 0646 (5)	0.3203(3)	0.1909(3)	$6 \cdot 2(1)$
C(30)	1.2367 (4)	0.2031(3)	0.2141(3) 0.2140(4)	5.0(1)
C(41)	1.1607 (4)	0.1301 (2)	0.3149(4)	3.54 (9)
C(42)	1.2462 (5)	0.1420(3)	0.4018 (4)	4.1(1)
C(43)	1.3853 (6)	0.1319(3)	0.5243 (4)	4.0(1)
C(44)	1.4500 (5)	0.1099(3)	0.3008 (4)	5.2(1)
C(45)	1,3777 (5)	0.0973(3)	0.4730(3)	5.7(1)
	0.962(4)	0.077(3)	0.3327(4)	4.9(1)
H(112)	1.079 (4)	0.077(2)	0.110 (2)	4 (1)*
H(13)	0.758 (5)	0.034(2)	0.090 (5)	3.7 (9)*
H(14)	0.614 (6)	0.124(3)	0.100 (5)	7 (1)* 0 (2)*
H(15)	0.681 (5)	0.022 (2)	0.179(3)	9 (2)*
H(16)	0.804 (5)	0.032(3)	0.303(4)	7(1)*
H(10)	1.040 (5)	-0.017 (3)	0.412 (4)	7 (1)*
H(22)	1.239 (5)	-0.003 (2)	0.300 (4)	0(1)
H(23)	1.386 (8)	0.050 (3)	0.102 (6)	12 (2)
H(24)	1.511 (6)	0.151(3)	-0.103(0)	13 (2)*
H(25)	1.462 (5)	0.743(3)	-0.111(3)	9(2)*
H(26)	1.318 (5)	0.243(3) 0.238(3)	0.100(4)	7(1)*
H(32)	0.013 (4)	0.102 (2)	0.026 (2)	2 7 (0)
H(33)	0.774(5)	0.278 (2)	-0.033 (3)	5.7 (9)*
H(34)	0.809 (7)	0.260(2)	-0.091 (4)	0(1)*
H(35)	1.002 (6)	0.360 (4)	0.000(0)	12 (2)*
H(36)	1,137 (5)	0.300(3)	0.233(3)	8 (2)*
H(42)	1.074 (4)	0.201(2)	0.276 (2)	0 (1)* 3 9 (0)#
H(43)	1,206 (5)	0.133(2)	0.592 (4)	2.0 (0)
H(44)	1.441 (5)	0.103(2)	0.562 (4)	0(1) [*]
H(45)	1.541 (6)	0.081 (3)	0.507 (5)	9 (3)*
H(46)	1.416 (4)	0.098 (2)	0.298 (4)	0 (2) 4 (1)*
		0.010 (2)	0.720141	9111

* Atoms refined isotropically. Anisotropically refined atoms are given in the form of the equivalent isotropic thermal parameter defined as: $\frac{4}{3}\sum_{i}\sum_{j}\beta_{ij}\mathbf{a}_{i}.\mathbf{a}_{j}.$

atom [C(11)] is nearly in the plane of the substituted phenyl ring with a deviation of 0.010(5) Å (Table 7, deposited).

A qualitative examination of the structures of the three halide salts of the benzyltriphenylphosphonium ion shows that the orientation of phenyl rings 2, 3 and 4 of the chloride structure (Skapski & Stephens, 1974) differs from those of the bromide and iodide (Archer et al., 1981) structures. The angles between the phenylring plane of the benzyl group of the bromide salt and the planes of rings 2, 3 and 4 are 65.4, 107.2 and 32.6°, respectively. Based on calculations from the published coordinates this arrangement is appreciably the same as that in the iodide structure (77.8, 105.0 and 27.5°), but different from that in the chloride structure (39.3, 40.9 and 69.1°) (Skapski & Stephens,

^{*} Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, bond lengths and angles involving H atoms, torsion angles and leastsquares-planes' calculations have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 42836 (22 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

1974). The orientation of the phenyl rings is such that ring 2 makes angles of 91.3 and 91.7° to the normals of the planes of ring 3 and 4, respectively, whereas the corresponding angle of ring 3 to ring 4 is 128.1°. These values are similar to the previously reported values of 110.3, 78.9 and 123.7° for the (2-aminoethyl)trihydrobromide phenylphosphonium bromide (Czerwinski, 1986), and 89.2, 96.9 and 125.3° calculated for benzyltriphenylphosphonium iodide. However, the values 79.7, 80.8 and 59.6° for benzyltriphenylphosphonium chloride (Skapski & Stephens, 1974) are different, reflecting a different conformation, particularly at ring 4. The differences of the triphenyl-ring orientations with respect to the chloride structure are probably due to packing forces. The bromide and iodide structures crystallize in the same space group, $P2_1/c$, with similar cell parameters. The space group of the chloride structure is orthorhombic, *Pbca*, with different cell parameters.



Fig. 1. A perspective view of the molecule showing the atomlabeling scheme. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.



Fig. 2. The packing of the molecules in the unit cell along the c axis. Br and P atoms are drawn with the principal ellipses.

Table 2. Bond distances (Å), bond angles (°) andselected torsion angles (°)

Numbers in parentheses are e.s.d.'s in the least-significant digits.

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{c} C(22) C(23) (-23) (-385 (f) \\ C(23) C(24) (-385 (f) \\ C(21) P \\ C(21) P \\ C(21) P \\ C(31) (-10)$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$)•1 (5)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$)•7 (6)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	J·6 (5)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3.5 (4)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.9 (3)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9.6 (4)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$)·3 (6)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9-4 (6)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.6 (6)
$\begin{array}{c} C(12) C(13) C(14) 119.7 (5) \\ C(13) C(14) C(15) 121.2 (5) \\ C(14) C(15) 121.2 (5) \\ C(14) C(15) C(16) 118.6 (5) \\ C(15) C(16) C(17) 121.1 (5) \\ C(12) C(17) C(16) 119.7 (5) \\ C(12) C(17) C(16) 119.7 (5) \\ C(12) C(17) C(16) 119.7 (5) \\ C(12) C(22) C(22) 122.2 (4) \\ P \\ C(21) C(22) C(22) 122.2 (4) \\ C(22) C(21) C(26) 118.5 (3) \\ C(23) C(24) C(24) C(24) C(24) 119 \\ C(22) C(21) C(26) 119.3 (4) \\ C(21) C(22) C(23) 120.0 (6) \\ C(21) C(22) C(23) 120.0 (6) \\ C(21) P \\ C(21) P \\ C(11) C(12) 175.0 (3) \\ P \\ C(11) C(12) C(12) C(12) C(12) C(12) C(12) C(12) C(12) C(13) \\ C(11) P \\ C(11) C(12) C(13) 54.5 (5) \\ \end{array}$	9.5 (6)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0-5 (5)
$\begin{array}{ccccc} C(14) C(15) C(16) 118.6 (5) & P & C(41) C(46) 120 \\ C(15) C(16) C(17) 121.1 (5) & C(42) C(41) C(46) 119 \\ C(12) C(17) C(16) 119.7 (5) & C(41) C(42) C(43) 119 \\ P & C(21) C(22) 122.2 (4) & C(42) C(43) C(44) 121 \\ P & C(21) C(26) 118.5 (3) & C(43) C(44) C(45) 119 \\ C(22) C(21) C(26) 119.3 (4) & C(44) C(45) C(46) 120 \\ C(21) C(22) C(23) 120.0 (6) & C(41) C(46) C(45) 120 \\ C(22) C(23) C(24) 120.2 (6) & C(11) C(12) 175.0 (3) \\ C(21) P & C(11) C(12) 175.0 (3) & P & C(11) C(12) C(1) \\ C(31) P & C(11) C(12) -66.3 (5) & P & C(11) C(12) C(1) \\ C(41) P & C(11) C(12) -66.3 (5) & P & C(11) C(12) C(1) \\ \end{array}$	J •4 (3)
$\begin{array}{c} C(15) \ C(16) \ C(17) \ 121 \cdot 1 \ (5) \\ C(12) \ C(17) \ C(16) \ 119 \cdot 7 \ (5) \\ P \ C(21) \ C(22) \ 122 \cdot 2 \ (4) \\ P \ C(21) \ C(22) \ 122 \cdot 2 \ (4) \\ C(42) \ C(43) \ C(44) \ 119 \\ P \ C(21) \ C(26) \ 118 \cdot 5 \ (3) \\ C(22) \ C(21) \ C(26) \ 118 \cdot 5 \ (3) \\ C(21) \ C(22) \ C(23) \ 120 \cdot 0 \ (6) \\ C(21) \ C(22) \ C(23) \ C(24) \ 120 \cdot 2 \ (6) \\ C(21) \ C(23) \ C(24) \ 120 \cdot 2 \ (6) \\ C(21) \ P \ C(11) \ C(12) \ 175 \cdot 0 \ (3) \\ P \ C(11) \ C(12) \ C(12) \ C(13) \ C(13) \ C(12) \ \mathsf$	J •2 (3)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9.2 (4)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	9•3 (4)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	1 · 1 (4)
$\begin{array}{c} C(22) C(21) C(26) 119.3 (4) \\ C(21) C(22) C(23) 120.0 (6) \\ C(22) C(23) C(23) 120.0 (6) \\ C(22) C(23) C(24) 120.2 (6) \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} C(21) P \\ C(21) C(22) (23) (24) (26) \\ C(21) P \\ C(21) C(22) (24) (26) \\ C(21) P \\ C(21) C(22) (26) (26) \\ C(21) P \\ C(21) C(22) (26) \\ C(21) P \\ C(21) C(22) (26) \\ C(21) (26) (26) \\ C(21) C(22) (26) \\ C(22) (26) (26) \\ C(21) C(22) \\ $	9.8 (4)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.5 (5)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.0 (4)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccc} C(31) \ P & C(11) \ C(12) - 66 \cdot 3 \ (5) & P & C(11) \ C(12) \ C(11) \\ C(41) \ P & C(11) \ C(12) \ 54 \cdot 3 \ (5) \end{array}$	13) 86-3 (4)
C(41) P = C(11) C(12) 54.3 (5)	17)-94.2 (4)

The three C(n1)(phenyl) atoms provide a convenient reference plane with which to compare the orientation of the substituted moiety to the triphenylphosphonium portion. The phenyl ring of the benzyl group has a dihedral angle of $24 \cdot 2^{\circ}$ with the plane formed by the C(21), C(31), and C(41) atoms. In the iodide structure this angle is calculated to be 24.8°, and 29.3° in the chloride structure. Thus the overall conformations of these benzyltriphenylphosphonium halides are not appreciably different. On the other hand, the extended 2-aminoethyl group is nearly vertical to its C(21)-C(31)–C(41) plane, having an angle of 106.6° . The amino group is 4.495(5) Å from the C(21)–C(31)– C(41) plane, whereas the distance of the atom of the benzyltriphenylphosphonium bromide structure farthest from its C(21)-C(31)-C(41) plane, C(15) of the benzyl group, is only 4.126 (6) Å. This would indicate that the shape of the benzyltriphenylphosphonium compound is more compact than that of the (2-aminoethyl)-Whether this triphenylphosphonium compound. difference in overall shape is responsible or at least partially responsible for the differences in the biological activities of benzyltriphenylphosphonium bromide, (2-aminoethyl)triphenylphosphonium bromide hydrobromide and the other compounds of this series remains to be determined (McAllister et al., 1980).

The title compound was a gift from Dr G. R. Hillman, Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, The University of Texas Medical Branch. Research supported by the Robert A. Welch Foundation (H-779) and NIH Biomedical Research Support Grant RR7205.

References

ARCHER, S. J., MODRO, T. A. & NASSIMBENI, L. R. (1981, *Phosphorus Sulfur*, 11, 101–110.
 CZERWINSKI, E. W. (1986). Acta Cryst. C 42, 236–239.

- FRENZ, B. A. (1984). Enraf-Nonius SDP-Plus Structure Determination Package. Version 1.1. Enraf-Nonius, Delft.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV, Table 2.3.1. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor D. Reidel, Dordrecht.)
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee.
- MCALLISTER, P. R., DOTSON, M. J., GRIM, S. O. & HILLMAN, G. R. (1980). J. Med. Chem. 23, 862–865.
- PAULING, L. (1960). In The Nature of the Chemical Bond. Ithaca: Cornell Univ. Press.
- SKAPSKI, A. C. & STEPHENS, F. A. (1974). J. Cryst. Mol. Struct. 4, 77–85.

WALKER, N. & STUART, D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 158-166.

Acta Cryst. (1986). C42, 1022-1024

N-(1-Ethoxycarbonyl-3-phenylpropyl)-L-alanyl-L-prolinium–Hydrogen Maleate (1/1), Enalapril (MK-421)

By GILLES PRÉCIGOUX, SERGE GEOFFRE AND FRANÇOISE LEROY

Laboratoire de Cristallographie associéau CNRS, UA 144, Université de Bordeaux I, 351 Cours de la Libération, 33405 – Talence CEDEX, France

(Received 25 November 1985; accepted 7 February 1986)

Abstract. $C_{20}H_{29}N_2O_5^+$, $C_4H_3O_4^-$, $M_r = 492.5$, monoclinic, $P2_1$, a = 11.224 (4), b = 6.645 (2), c = 17.824 (5) Å, $\beta = 105.52$ (3)°, V = 1280.9 (7) Å³, Z = 2, $D_x = 1.27$ g cm⁻³, Mo Ka, $\lambda = 0.71069$ Å, $\mu = 1.06$ cm⁻¹, F(000) = 524, T = 293 K, final R = 0.089 for 1187 observed reflections. The title compound (MK-421) is a potent orally active inhibitor of angiotensin-converting enzyme. The molecule is observed with Ala NH⁺₂ as a positively charged group. The backbone shows an extended conformation at Ala. The crystal-structure conformation is compared with the proposed biologically active conformation of enalapril and with the crystal conformation of captopril (SQ 14,225).

Introduction. Much attention focuses on the reninangiotensin system in relation to mechanisms controlling blood pressure. The discovery of captopril (Ondetti, Rubin & Cushman, 1977) and enalapril (Patchett *et al.*, 1980) as potent orally active inhibitors of angiotensinconverting enzyme led to the development of novel molecules with similar biological activity (Condon *et al.*, 1982; Thorsett, Harris, Aster, Peterson, Taub & Patchett, 1983).

As yet, however, the only crystal-structure analysis of angiotensin-converting enzyme flexible inhibitor reported in the literature concerns captopril (Fujinaga & James, 1980).

The present study was undertaken to determine precise molecular and conformational parameters for

0108-2701/86/081022-03\$01.50

MK-421 which may be of use in the understanding of conformational requirements for ACE (angiotensinconverting enzyme) inhibition. The observed crystalstructure conformation is compared with the biologically active conformation proposed by Andrews, Carson, Caselli, Spark & Woods (1985) and with the conformation of captopril.

Experimental. Crystals grown with vapour-diffusion technique with methanol as solvent and diethyl oxide as precipitating agent. Plate-like crystal, dimensions $0.05 \times 0.20 \times 0.40$ mm; Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer; Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, graphite monochromator. Lattice parameters from least-squares adjustment to setting angles of 25 reflections with $9 < 2\theta < 18^{\circ}$. Correction for Lorentz and polarization effects; $\omega - 2\theta$ scans. $\theta_{max} = 25^{\circ}$; range of *hkl*: *h*: -12 \rightarrow 12; *k*: 0 \rightarrow 7; *l*: 0 \rightarrow 21. Intensity variation of three standard reflections < 2%. 2459 unique reflections measured; 1187 with $I > 2\sigma(I)$. Solution by direct methods (MITHRIL; Gilmore, 1984). Refinement on F by block-diagonal least squares; anisotropic non-H atoms, fixed parameters for H atoms [located in ΔF map except at C(5) and at the carboxyl groups]. R = 0.089, wR = 0.092 (poor quality of crystal and hence of intensity data); $w = 1/\sigma^2(F_o)$ based on counting statistics; S = 1.10; $\Delta/\sigma_{max} = 0.5$; $\Delta/\sigma_{mean} = 0.1$. Max. and min. heights in final $\Delta \rho$ map +0.3 and $-0.2 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$. Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974)

© 1986 International Union of Crystallography

1022